

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,605 09/15/2003		09/15/2003	Mickey Roemer	10407/634	2363	
30076	7590 02/09/2006			EXAMINER		
		N MILLSTEIN FE	PIERCE, WILLIAM M			
1880 CENT		K EASI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90067	3711			

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/662,60	05	ROEMER ET AL.	0			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		William M.	Pierce	3711				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the	cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	iress			
Period for	• •			(a) an Tille (a)				
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by ply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even on. period will apply and wi statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 November 2	005.					
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 November 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
•—	Since this application is in condition for all			secution as to the	merits is			
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
4) 🖂 (Claim(s) <u>1-97</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>62-97</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌 (5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-97</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election rec	uirement.					
Applicatio	on Papers							
9) <u></u> ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· ·	- · ·		• •			
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for for a claim for for for for a claim for for for a claim for	reign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
•	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have hee	n received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur			on No.				
	B. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the		• •		Stage			
	application from the International Bu	· ·			J			
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certif	fied copies not receive	ed.				
			•	LOWER HARARA PIER	RCE			
Attachment(s)			WILLIAM M. PIER P. L. Y EXAL	NEA			
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date	5,00)	6) Other:		·= /			

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 62-97 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 11/17/05.

Upon reconsideration of the restriction claims 31-61 have been rejoined with group I. This office action contains an action on the merits for claims 1-61.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1- 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Monte Carlo in view of Kelly 5,882,258.

Claims 1-5, 10-12, 15, 17-19, 28, 29, 31-35, 45, 60 and 61 are well known game of Monte Carlo shows the steps of displaying, selecting and removing. However, Monte Carlo is shown as a private game and does not award a prize. Kelly teaches that it would have been obvious to have awarded a player a prize in a private game like Monte Carlo (col. 1, Ins. 48-67) to play the game in a public place (col. 1, In. 11) so that the game can be attractive to casinos. The type of indicia of claims 6-9 and claims 37-42 is considered to be an obvious rearrangement of printed matter and matter of choice. More particular to claims 6 and 36, awarding a player a prize associated with the indicia corresponding to the game is considered old and well known. For example, in scratch off tickets a player will win a prize corresponding to the indicia revealed in the game. As to claims 13, 14, 43, 44 touch screen technology is old. As to claims 16 and 46, buttons are shown at 60 of Kelly. As to claims 20-27 and 47-58, it is taught by Kelly that a player is rewarded in a game depending upon their tasks performed towards the games' objective. This is admittedly old by applicant on the top of pg. 2 of his specification. To have rewarded a player for selected tasks in the performance of a game like Monte Carlo would have been obvious as taught by Kelly to reward a player for successful play of the game. Applicant has not shown were the rewards are critical to the play of the game by solving any particular problem or producing any unexpected results. Currently the player receives a reward based upon a

Application/Control Number: 10/662,605

Art Unit: 3711

predetermined task that is deemed rewardable by the game designer. This is nothing new since player can be rewarded for an unlimited number of tasks during the play of a game. Interactive help features as called for by claims 30 and 59 are old to games.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chilese shows solitaire gambling card games in a video formate. Brown, Baerlocher and Tarantino show games.

Any inquiry concerning this communication and its merits should be directed to William Pierce at E-mail address bill.pierce@USPTO.gov or at telephone number (571) 272-4414.

For **official fax** communications to be officially entered in the application the fax number is (703) 872-9306.

For informal fax communications the fax number is (703) 308-7769.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the **status** of this application or proceeding can also be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Any inquiry concerning the **drawings** should be directed to the Drafting Division whose telephone number is (703) 305-8335.

WILLIAM II. PITTIOI Pressant Exameli